

Introduction

Thank you for participating in this survey on open access for long-form content. It should take about 10 minutes to complete.

This is part of a study carried out by Information Power Ltd and commissioned by RLUK in partnership with SCONUL and ARMA as part of the [Advancing Long-form Open Access Practice Project](#). The survey sits alongside a series of focus groups covering various aspects of this topic. Your experience and insights will help us assess the practicality and priorities for key library strategies to promote long-form OA.

All answers are in confidence and results will be presented without attribution; all quotes will be anonymised.

We are specifically focused on long-form publications (e.g. scholarly monographs, edited volumes and individual book chapters).

Thank you very much for your help!

About you

Please tell us about yourself and your institution.

* 1. What is the name of your institution?

* 2. In which part of the institution do you work?

- Library
- Research Office
- Other (please specify)

* 3. Which of the following best describes your role? (Please choose the closest equivalent)

- Assistant Administrator
- Administrator
- Officer
- Assistant Manager
- Manager
- Assistant Director
- Director
- Prefer not to say
- Other (please specify)

OA books at your institution

4. What is your view on the funding of OA monographs relative to their importance to scholarship?

- OA monographs are underfunded
- The level of funding is appropriate
- Monographs are over-funded relative to their importance

Comment

5. Roughly what proportion of your outputs are long-form outputs (specifically, edited books, monographs, or book chapters)? If you are not sure, please leave the slider set to -1.

-1 100

6. Of these long-form outputs, roughly what proportion are made OA, either directly (e.g. via Gold or Diamond publishers) or indirectly (e.g. via Green OA on your repository)? If you are not sure, please leave the slider set to -1.

-1 100

7. Leaving aside external pressures such as possible future REF requirements, how would you rate the appetite in your institution for a move to OA for long-form outputs?

Very low appetite Very keen

8. How advanced would you say your organisation is in transitioning to OA long-form?

- Early stage (limited activity)
- Developing (some initiatives)
- Established (structured support in place)
- Advanced (strategic, integrated approach)

Comment

Priorities

* 9. Please rank the following priorities in terms of their importance:

- Reallocating library spending and resources to advance long-form OA
- Funding affordable OA publishing
- Funding affordable shared infrastructure
- Compile evidence that demonstrates the benefits of long-form OA
- Strengthen alignment with STEM scholars
- Strengthen alignment with HSS scholars
- Improve current Green OA provision
- Influence funder long-form OA policies
- Work with established publishers to establish acceptable terms for long-form OA

10. Please identify which priorities you think would be best addressed at national level rather than by individual institutions:

- Reallocating library spending and resources to advance long-form OA
- Funding affordable OA publishing
- Funding affordable shared infrastructure
- Compile evidence that demonstrates the benefits of long-form OA
- Strengthen alignment between libraries and STEM scholars
- Strengthen alignment between libraries and HSS scholars
- Improve current Green OA provision
- Influence funder long-form OA policies
- Work with established long-form publishers to establish acceptable terms for long-form OA

Comment

11. What are your own institution's top three strategic priorities with regard to long-form OA?

Support and resources

There are a number of existing resources in this area, and we would like to understand which ones are useful, which ones need more promotion, and what new resources might usefully be created. For each of the following types of material, please let us know whether you are aware of the following examples, whether you have used these resources, and (if you have used them) whether you found them useful.

12. Toolkits

	I am aware of this	I have used this	I have found this useful
The Open Access Books Toolkit	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Jisc Open Access for Books Tool	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
COPIM's Opening the Future toolkit	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

13. Institution-led resources for academics

	I am aware of this	I have used this (or signposted it to others)	I have found this useful, or have been told it was helpful by a user
Edinburgh University Author testimonials	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Lancaster University Open Research Portal	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
LSE: Guidance on OA book contracts	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
LSE: Open Access Books	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

14. Additional resources

	I am aware of this	I have used this	I have found this helpful
Counter's Pathway to Compliance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discord Research Support and Open Scholarship (RSOS)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
OpenPlato learning platform	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Researchers' experience of publishing academic books open access	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Shared Principles for the Evaluation and Acquisition of Open Access Monograph Models (RLUK)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Think. Check. Submit. (Books & Chapters)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Think. Check. Submit. (Copyright and Licensing)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

15. What other existing resources would you recommend to colleagues?

16. What new resources would you find useful?

17. Which forms of support would you find most useful? Please tick all that apply.

- Short guidance documents
- Support for strategy development
- Case studies
- Decision-making frameworks
- Webinars
- Short training courses
- In-depth training courses
- Peer networks / communities of practice
- Templates (e.g. policies, workflows)

Other (please specify)

18. Is there anything else you would like to share about challenges or opportunities for OA long-form?